



NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE

(Nebraska Crime Commission)

Michael E. Behm, Executive Director

301 Centennial Mall South P.O. Box 94946

P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln, Nebraska 68509-4946

NEBRASKA COMMISSION ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIMINAL JUSTIC (402) 471-2194

July 22, 2011

The Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice met Friday, July 22, 2011 at 9:30 AM in Lower Level Conference Room A of the Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, Lincoln, Nebraska. Legal notice of the meeting was published July 8, 2011 in the <u>Lincoln Journal Star</u>.

As amended by LB 898, 2005 Legislature, a copy of the Nebraska Open Meetings Act was available for public review.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:37 AM by Acting Chairman Dave Cookson. The following members were **in attendance**: Acting Chair Dave Cookson, Bill Brueggemann, Scot Ford, Joe Kelly, Robert Houston, Genelle Moore, Kathy Moore, Richard Pierce, Fred Ruiz, David Sankey, Rita Saunders, Brenda Smith, Derek Vaughn and William White. **Members excused**: Candice Batton, Alex Hayes, and Don Overman. **Staff present**: Ann Bauers, Michael Behm, Linda Krutz, William Muldoon, David Stolz, Bruce Ayers, Michael Overton, Lisa Stamm, Merry Wills and James Wright. **Others Present**: Lt. Jon Kraai, Nebraska State Patrol; Shelby Jones-Schellpeper, Sand Hills Crisis Prevention Center Director.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion

A motion was made by K. Moore and seconded by Ruiz to approve the minutes of the Jail Standards Board meeting of April 22, 2011; Nebraska County Attorney's Standards Advisory Council meeting of May 5, 2011; Crime Commission meeting of May 6, 2011; Police Standards Advisory Council meetings of April 13, 2011 and May 18, 2011; Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice meeting of June 3, 2011; Office of Violence Prevention meeting of June 16, 2011; and the Grant Review Committee meeting of July 6, 2011. Motion carried unanimously by acclamation.

III. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mike Behm presented his Executive Director's report noting the following:

 Shawn Roberts has joined the Crime Commission as a Staff Assistant for the Grants Division.

- LB 390 was passed and signed into law on May 26th, effective July 1, 2011;
 - o The Director of NLETC, the Director of the Community Corrections Council and the Director of the office of Violence Prevention will now be hired, fired and supervised by the Crime Commission Executive Director.
 - o The Community Corrections Council was eliminated. The Jail Standards Board shall not have authority over or responsibility for correctional facilities which are accredited by a nationally recognized correctional association. Changes were made to the Community Trust Statutes. Changes provide liability protection to the officers of the trust, eliminated some deadlines and allowed the Trust to use 10% of donations for operations and also allowed Trust officers to have closed meetings when discussing claims.
- The Homicide study which mandated the Crime Commission to collect data, which was assigned to the Crime Commission in 2000 and with an annual cost of \$20K, has been deleted.
- Behm introduced Linda Krutz, Division Chief of Community Corrections and acknowledge Bill Muldoon, Director NLETC and James Wright, Director Office of Violence Prevention.
- Revocations cases: 19 Active cases
 - O There is currently one case scheduled for hearing before the PSAC on July 20th. I have sent letters to two former officers advising them of the intent to file informal complaints against them with a waiver to voluntarily surrender their certificate. One former officer has signed and submitted the waiver to Behm. This case will be held in the near future.
- State Wide Drug Task Forces Behm and the Crime Commission staff worked closely with the Nebraska State Patrol to develop a statewide drug strategy. A training session was held April 14th in Grant Island with various representatives of statewide task forces. The last alignment of the task forces took place in 1989 or when other areas were added.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

There was no old business

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. 2011 Criminal Justice Directory

Chairman Cookson informed the Board that a copy of the 2011 Criminal Justice Directory was provided for their information.

B. Drug Task Forces – Recommended Changes in Alignment

Lt. Jon Kraai gave a power point presentation on the recommended changes in alignment of the Drug Task Force.

C. Report on Compliance with 2010 Continuing Legal Education Requirements by County and Deputy County Attorneys. Attachment #1

Behm stated that the Commission has received requests for waivers from all 12 county or deputy county attorneys as out of compliance with their 2010 CLE requirements. If the waivers are granted, the deficit number of hours will be added to their 2011 requirements. The County Attorney's Standards Advisory Council has recommended that waivers be granted to the county/deputy county attorneys listed on Attachment #1.

Kelly asked if it was still necessary for the County Attorney's to continue to report CLE hours since all attorney's now have to report education hours to the Supreme Court. There was a brief discussion regarding the number of hours required by various entities and the cost involved. Brucggemann pointed out that the CLE hours are required by statute. Kelly agreed that the CLE hours should continue to be required.

Motion

A motion was made by Smith and seconded by Sankey to accept the County Attorneys Standards Advisory Council's recommendation to grant waivers of the 2010 Continuing Legal Education requirements to the 7 county and/or deputy county attorneys: Sean Lavery, Deputy Douglas County Attorney; Jennifer Miralles, Deputy Sarpy County Attorney; Eric Scott, Cherry County Attorney; Theresa Urich, Deputy Douglas County Attorney; Jordan Boler, Deputy Douglas County Attorney; and Arlan Wine, Chase County Attorney. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce, Sankey, Sanders, Smith, Vaughn (Abstain on Lavery, Urich, Boler and Dolan), White. Ruiz (Against). Motion carried.

Behm stated that the Commission has received requests for waivers from 3 county or deputy county attorneys listed as out of compliance with their 2010 CLE requirements who also had hours left from 2009. If the waivers are granted, the deficit number of hours needs to be caught up by the end of 2011 unless there are extenuating circumstances.

The County Attorneys Standards Advisory Council recommended that waivers be granted to the county/deputy county attorneys listed.

Houston asked if it would be reviewed at the January meeting. Behm replied that it would be reviewed at the next County Attorneys Standards Advisory meeting. Smith

asked what will happen if the hours aren't completed by the end of 2011. Discussion ensued regarding what the consequences would be and who would enforce them.

The suggestion was made that a letter should be sent to remind the attorney's that their CLE hours have to be made up before the end of the year.

Motion

A motion was made by Kelly and seconded by Vaughn to accept the County Attorneys Standards Advisory Council's recommendation to grant waivers of the 2010 Continuing Legal Education requirements to the 3 county and/or deputy county attorneys who also had hours remaining from 2009. All hours are to be caught up by the end of 2011 unless there are extenuating circumstances: George Vinton, Hooker County Attorney; Jennifer Dugan-Hinrichs, Deputy Douglas County Attorney; and Renee Mathias, Deputy Douglas County Attorney. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce, Sankey, Sanders, Smith, Vaughn (Abstain on Dugan-Hinrichs and Mathias), and White. Ruiz (Against). Motion carried.

Behm stated that the Commission has received a request for a waiver for Vernon Duncan because he is no longer employed as a deputy county attorney.

The County Attorneys Standards Advisory Council recommended that waiver be granted based on documentation that Vernon Duncan is no longer employed as a deputy county attorney.

Motion

A motion was made by K. Moore and seconded by Sankey to accept the County Attorneys Standards Advisory Council's recommendation to grant a waiver for Vernon Duncan based on documentation that he is no longer employed as a deputy county attorney. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce, Ruiz, Sankey, Sanders, Smith, Vaughn, and White. Motion carried.

Behm stated that the Commission has received a request for a waiver for Paul Sullivan because he is out of the country and was unable to take any CLE hours prior to leaving for Liberia.

The County Attorneys Standards Advisory Council recommended that waiver be granted for Paul Sullivan for 20 CLE hours for 2010.

Motion

A motion was made by Sankey and seconded by Houston to accept the County Attorneys Standards Advisory Council's recommendation to grant a waiver for Paul Sullivan for 20 CLE hours for 2010 based on the fact he is out of the country. Voting in favor of the motion: Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce, Ruiz, Sankey, Sanders, Smith, Vaughn, and White. Brueggeman (Abstain) Motion carried.

Cookson stated that before the Crime Commission considered the grants portion of the meeting, that there would be discussion on the Drug Task Force update. Behm asked if there were any questions about the presentation. Houston stated that he agreed with Lt. Kraai's presentation and that the Fusion Center seemed to be the best solution.

The suggestion was made that the Crime Commission should give support to Lt. Kraai's moving forward with visiting with both task force boards to form them into one large task force and forming a new board. Sankey stated that forming one board would be the best in the long run for both task forces. There was discussion concerning resistance to blending the two forces into one.

Motion

A motion was then made by Kelly and seconded by Smith for a resolution to support allowing Lt. Kraai to move forward with visiting with both RAP and SEADE task forces to form them into one large task force and form a new board. Motion passed by acclimation.

D. Award of 2011 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Title V Formula Grant Funds - \$84,985. Attachment #2

Lisa Stamm gave a summary report on the grant award. There was no discussion.

Motion

A motion was then made by K. Moore and seconded by Smith to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined by the Grant Review Committee for the Award of 2011 Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Title V Formula Grant Funds of \$84,985. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce, Ruiz, Sankey, Saunders, Smith, Vaughn (Abstain on JP30) and White. Motion carried.

E. Award of 2010 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) Funds - \$432,200 Attachment #3

Stamm gave a summary report on the grant award. There was no discussion

Motion

A motion was then made by Sankey and seconded by K. Moore to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined by the Grant Review Committee for the Award of 2010 Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) Funds in the amount of \$432,200. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce, Ruiz, Sankey, Saunders, Smith, Vaughn (Abstain on JA604) and White. Motion carried.

F. JAG – State amount available JAG FY 2011 - \$656,603; State JAG ARRA \$222,400 – Total State JAG amount including JAG ARRA \$879,003 JAG Local amount available JAG FY 2011 - \$814,651; Local JAG ARRA \$333,600 – Total Local JAG Amount, including JAG ARRA \$1,148,251 Attachment #4

Stamm gave a summary report on the Grant award. There was no discussion.

Motion

A motion was then made by Pierce and seconded by Kelly to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined by the Grant Review Committee for JAG – State amount available JAG FY 2011 - \$656,603; State JAG ARRA \$222,400 – Total State JAG amount including JAG ARRA \$879,003

JAG Local amount available JAG FY 2011 - \$814,651; Local JAG ARRA \$333,600 – Total Local JAG Amount, including JAG ARRA \$1,148,251. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston (Abstain A 312), G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce (Abstain A 310), Ruiz, Sankey (Abstain A 311), Saunders, Smith, Vaughn, and White. Motion carried.

G. Revised County Aid Enhancement – Total amount available - \$107,813. Attachment #5

Stamm gave a summary report on the enhancement. Vaughn asked why the money was reduced and Stamm answered because of the legislation passed that reduced the amount of the enhancement.

Motion

A motion was made by Brueggemann and seconded by Houston to accept the funding recommendations and contingency stipulations of award as outlined for the Revised County Aid Enhancement – Total amount available - \$107,813. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce (Abstain 109 and 112), Ruiz, Sankey, Saunders, Smith, Vaughn (Abstain EG101) and White. Motion carried.

H. Award of 2011 Violence of Crime Act (VOCA) Grant Funds - \$2,751,156. Attachment #6

Merry Wills gave a brief summary of the grant award. The suggestion was made to table any motions until after the appeal of Grant 11-Va-0240 was heard.

K. Moore suggested that the board hear the appeal and then the response by Wills.

I. Appeal of Grant 11-VA-0240, Sand Hills Crisis Intervention Program - \$60,000 Handout

Shelby Jones-Schellpeper presented her arguments on why the grant should not be denied. She stated that there were three reasons why the grant should not have been denied; because it was deemed late when it should not have been, because of extenuating circumstances, and finally in the instructions revisions, the no exceptions statement was not behind the deadline, but behind the no fax or email statement.

K. Moore asked what would happen if the funding wasn't there and Jones-Schellpeper replied that there would be a less of 3 positions unless other funding could be found. She further stated that if the funding isn't restored, she would quit her position so that her salary would cover the 3 positions and she'd work as a volunteer.

Houston asked if the integrity of the program was solid. Wills stated that this is the second late application this year and there are serious questions about the grant management ability. Cookson asked if this was only because of the late filing or were there other concerns. Wills replied that the focus was on the late filings primarily.

Cookson stated that he thought it was the policy of the Commission not to sacrifice good programs because of technical late submissions. Houston stated that his concern was that in larger communities there are other sources for funding, but in greater Nebraska there aren't other resources.

Behm commented that the Commission operates under guidelines established by the current and previous board. That process involves a Staff Review and a Grant Review made up of members of the Commission who had the opportunity to read thoroughly through the applications and apply the guidelines. Behm further stated that if anyone on the board would like to join the Grant Review Committee and provide input on the decisions they would be welcome. K. Moore stated that she has concerns about the loss of services in western Nebraska. She further stated that it would make a difference if this was just about the lateness of the application or if there were other concerns regarding the program.

G. Moore asked why this application was late before. Jones-Schellpeper replied the VAWA application was late because of staffing problems but those are now solved. Vaughn asked what the total budget for SCIP was. Jones-Schellpeper said about \$200,000. Vaughn asked what they were awarded last year and Jones-Schellpeper stated it was about \$65,000. Vaughn further asked how long SCIP had been receiving dollars from the Crime Commission and Jones-Schellpeper answered for at least the last five years. Sankey asked if with the exception of the two grant applications if anything else had been turned in late. Jones-Schellpeper replied that some of the quarterly reports have been late as well. Vaughn asked if Jones-Schellpeper was responsible for not only running SCIP but also for submitting grant applications. Jones-Schellpeper replied that she was responsible for both.

White stated that he volunteered for the Grant Review committee, attended a couple of meetings and was never notified again.

There was some discussion from the Grant Review Committee members regarding the amount of time that was spent on discussing this particular grant application and the issues involved. Vaughn suggested that before any more discussion takes place that perhaps the board should hear the Crime Commission response to the appeal. Smith commented that the point of the Commission is to hear an appeal and if warranted to make the decision to overturn the Grant Review's recommendation. Vaughn replied that his intent was to convey what happened in the Grant Review Committee and that perhaps there was information that they weren't privy to when the decision was made.

Wills stated that the denial needed to be based on three reasons: the appeal decision was biased, arbitrary or prejudiced against the applicant or sub-grantee; the appeal decision was reached without following the procedures outlined in the operating instructions; and/or the appeal decision was reached without following the guidelines of the specific grant program.

Wills summarized the denial letter to Jones-Schellpeper. Copy of the letter is attached.

Sankey stated that the grant was late, but accepted anyway. The committee looked at the fact that since money was limited, was this grant a good use of the money, or were there other grants that were more deserving and made the decision that the money could be used better elsewhere. The question was asked if there was anyone else offering this service in this area of Nebraska and Vaughn replied that question was one that was asked during the Grant Review.

Wills stated there were two programs in North Platte and that the Attorney General's office had a statewide advocate that could be utilized. Vaughn asked why there was a difference between the amounts requested and the amount awarded. Wills replied that they had not gotten the Federal commitment until later and then adjusted the amounts upwards.

K. Moore asked if the programs that had the two different amounts have been notified that the larger amounts have been recommended. Wills replied that they had. K. Moore asked about the amount that the Attorney General's office had gotten (\$53,556). Wills stated they had the funds available and it was less than asked for because it was only for five months of funding.

Smith stated that she has a problem with the fact that the grant was accepted as late, and then penalized for being late. She further stated that it should have not been accepted at all if the deadline was passed, or that fact should not have entered into the decision to deny the grant.

Cookson stated that in order to give something to SCIP, then that amount would have to be taken from some other grant. Cookson asked that if it was the sense of the board to do something, then the Attorney General's office would be willing to give their amount to SCIP.

K. Moore stated that there were two things that get to the heart of the matter for her: first that she was not in favor of the exception for considering late applications; and second that if they do waive the late application then it should not be considered as a reason for a denial. She further stated that she would recommend reversing the denial for SCIP.

Ford commented that a simple phone call would have resolved this entire conflict. Stolz asked if the areas of improvement noted were included in the assessment of whether to fund this grant or not. Sankey commented that it wasn't just the lateness issue that determined denying this grant. He further stated that the concern of the committee was looking at the entire year the overall management of the grants and if it was a good use of the money.

Smith stated her concern was if it was accepted, then the lateness shouldn't be used against the grant. Kelly asked what the new late policy is going to be, so that this issue won't be visited again. Kelly stated that the fact an application is late should be a factor to be considered for a grant application's denial.

Kelly suggested that the money should be taken from the Attorney General's grant and given to SCIP. There was a brief discussion as to the correct procedure to accomplish voting on item H and I.

Houston commented that he is the head of a large agency that has rules that everyone has to follow, but there is also discretion on how to follow the rules. Houston further stated that resources are limited in western Nebraska, so if a grant is denied, there aren't other alternatives. Houston stated he felt this was harsh to him and he runs a prison system.

K. Moore thanked Cookson for the offer but offered an alternate suggestion of taking dollars from other grants to fund this grant. Wills commented that some of the units are funded as low as \$15,000 and it would be difficult to do. Wills further stated that the Attorney General's offer was very generous and was the best offer on the table.

The board returned to the Award of 2011 Violence of Crime Act in order to vote. *Motion*

A motion was made by Kelly and seconded by Sankey to accept the funding recommendations with the exception of taking the funding for VA 224 and giving it to VA 240. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce (Abstain on VA200), Ruiz, Sankey, Saunders, Smith, Vaughn (Abstain on VA217) and White. Motion carried.

The board then returned to the Appeal of Grant 11-VA-0240 in order to vote.

Motion

A motion was made by Kelly and seconded by Smith to deny the appeal of Grant 11-VA-0240, Sand Hills Crisis Intervention Program for the amount of \$60,000. This is a moot point since funding was approved in a previous vote. Voting in favor of the motion: Brueggemann, Ford, Kelly, Houston, G. Moore, K. Moore, Pierce, Ruiz, Sankey, Saunders, Smith, Vaughn and White. Motion carried unanimously.

J. Update on JAG Strategic Planning

Stamm gave a brief update on the JAG Strategic Planning. There was no discussion.

K. County Aid Planning/Formula Update

Stamm gave a brief update on the County Aid Planning/Formula.

VI. OTHER BUSINESS

Brueggeman asked if there needed to be more revisions to the guidelines perhaps a percentage deduction for grants that are more than 72 hours late. Behm said he will query the group via e-mail regarding the number of hours and the amount of the percentage. K. Moore stated that if there are exceptions then it needs to be published within the grant process. Ford stated that our rules and regulations need to be looked at to see if they are meaningful and serve a purpose, but on the other side, if there are rules and regulations to be followed, then the onus is on the committee to enforce them and say no.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

The next scheduled meeting of the Commission will be Friday, October 21, 2011 at 9:30 AM in the Nebraska State Office Building, Lower Level Conference Room A, Lincoln, Nebraska.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 11:47 AM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ann Bauers

Administrative Assistant

Attachment #1

Waiver Requests 2010

Special Waiver

1. Vernon Duncan

2. Paul Sullivan

1.	Sean L	avery	Deputy Douglas County Attorney
	a.	4 hours CAC	,
	b.	Radar training 1 hour	
2.	Jennife	er Miralles	Deputy Sarpy County Attorney
	a.	7.5 CLE hours	
	b.	1 hour CAC	
3.	George	e Vinton	Hooker County Attorney
	a,	7.25 CLE hours	
	b.	1 hour CAC	
4.	Eric Sc	ott	Cherry County Attorney
	a.	20 hours CLE,	
	b.	1 hour CAC	
	С.	1 hour Radar	
5.	Theres	ia Urich	Deputy Douglas County Attorney
	a.	1 hour CAC	
6.	Jennife	er Dugan-Hinrichs	Deputy Douglas County Attorney
	a.	9 hours CLE from 2009	
	b.	20 hours CLE from 2010)
	c.	1 hour CAC	
	d.	1 hour Radar	
7.	Jorden		Deputy Douglas County Attorney
	a.	1 hour Radar	
8.	Tim Do		Deputy Douglas County Attorney
		6 hours CAC	
	b.	1.75 CLE	
9.	Arlan V		Chase County Attorney
	a.	1 hour Radar	
10	. Renee		Deputy Douglas County Attorney
	a.	17 hours of CLE	

Franklin County Attorney

Cass County – out of the country

December 10, 2010

To whom it may concern,

My name is Sean Lavery and I am a Deputy Douglas County Attorney. I was hired on February 16, 2010. I am requesting a time extension in order to complete the Radar Training requirement. The training will be scheduled for the first part of the year, and my attendance is planned.

Thanks you for your time,

Sean Lavery

Deputy Douglas County Attorney

Jennifer A. Miralles Sarpy County Attorney's Office Hall of Justice, Suite 3147 1210 Golden Gate Drive, Papillion, NE 68046

February 3, 2011

Nebraska Commission on Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice 301 Centennial Mall South P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln, NE 68509-4946

To whom it may concern,

I have 12.5 hours of training, including the child abuse requirement, from 2010. I have, since, completed the additional hours, however, they were completed in January of 2011. Therefore, I am requesting a waiver for 2010 and will provide the additional hours in the 2011 report.

Sincerely,

Jennifer A. Miralles

Deputy County Attorney

George G. Vinton_

Attorney at Law

109 East Second Street - Suite 8
Post Office Box 1577
North Platte, Nebraska 69103
Telephone (308) 532-7822
Fax (308) 532-8627

December 10, 2010

Michael E. Behm Nebraska Crime Commission P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln, NE 68509-4946

RE: 2010 Continuing Legal Education

Dear Mr. Behn:

Enclosed is a CLE-4 reporting form regarding my 2010 CLE hours. As you can see, I completed 14.5 hours of CLE. In addition to the 20 hour requirement for 2010, I had 1.75 hours waived from 2009, for a total requirement of 21.75 hours. I would request a waiver to allow the remaining 7.25 hours to be added to the 20 hour requirement for 2011. In addition, I was not able to meet the 1 hour requirement of training in investigation and prosecution of crimes against children, so I would ask that this be added to 2011, so that I will need to obtain 2 hours of this training.

I plan to attend the spring county attorneys seminar in order to obtain the hours of training in investigation and prosecution of crimes against children, in addition to the other training at the seminar.

As you can see, I attended a seminar on Legal Aspects of Animal Agriculture. This was helpful in regards to issues concerning county zoning. I also attended 11.5 hours at the Great Plains Federal Tax Institute. The brochure for this seminar is attached. This seminar is helpful as part of a county attorney's duties is the review inheritance tax returns.

Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely

George G. Vinton

For the Firm

GGV: mmf

ERIC A. SCOTT CHERRY COUNTY ATTORNEY P. O. BOX 349 VALENTINE, NE 69201 (402) 376-1803 PHONE (402) 376-1964 FAX

December 28, 2010

Michael E. Behm Nebraska Crime Commission 301 Centennial Mall South P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln, NE 68509

RE: Continuing Legal Education Reports for 2010

Dear Mr. Behm,

I am writing to request a waiver of all Continuing Legal Education hours required for County Attorneys for the 2010 calendar year.

I was scheduled to attend the Fall 2010 County Attorney Seminar, however, I underwent an medical outpatient procedure the week of the seminar, which required more recovery time than expected.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Eric A. Scott

Sincere

Cherry County Attorney

EAS/bmb Enclosure

RECEIVED

JAN 082919

ESSCAPARAMENTO ELLAN DIOM

DONALD W. KLEINE

Douglas County Attorney

BRENDA BEADLE, CHIEF DEPUTY 909 CIVIC CENTER / OMAHA, NEBRASKA 68183-0406

December 28, 2010

Nebraska Crime Commission 301 Centennial Mall South P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln NE 68509-4946

Re:

2010 CLE hours

To whom it may concern:

I have attended the requisite number of continuing legal education total hours this year but have not attended one hour of crimes against children. I have ordered a video, which I will watch upon receipt.

I am asking for a waiver for this one hour requirement. I will ensure that I attend two such hours next year.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter. If needed, please contact me at (402) 444-7794.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

DONALD W. KLEINE Douglas County Attorney

Theresia M. Urich Deputy County Attorney



Douglas County Attorney

Brenda Beadle, Chief Deputy 100 Hall of Justice / Omaha, Nebraska 68183-0406 me Chives,

JAN 1 9 2011

NEBRASKA CRIME COMMISSION

January 14, 2011

Nebraska Crime Commission Attn: Sarah Schoen 301 Centennial Mall South P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln, NE 68509-4946

Dear Ms. Schoen:

It has come to my attention that I have not completed the required CLE hours for 2010. At this time I am asking for a waiver and to be allowed to make them up in the year 2011. Please let me know if there is anything further that I need to do. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Donald W. Kleine County Attorney

Jehnie Dugan-Hinrichs Deputy County Attorney

JDH/pat

From:

Boler, Jordan E (DC Atty) [jordan.boler@douglascounty-ne.gov]

Sent:

Wednesday, February 02, 2011 9:26 AM

To: Subject: Bauers, Ann RE: Waiver

Ann.

I spoke with you earlier today about the fact that I do not have the 1 hour of radar training required through the Nebraska Crime Commission. I, along with others in my office, signed up to take the training in the beginning of last year. We were at the training and waited, but the trainer did not come. We are working with our office to try to get another date and time set up in order to complete the training, but have been unable to do so yet. I am asking for a waiver of the training for this year. Thank you so much for your time and attention.

Jordan Boler

Deputy Douglas County Attorney Juvenile Division 601 Hall of Justice 68183 (402) 546-0830

From: Bauers, Ann [mailto:ann.bauers@nebraska.gov]

Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2010 10:26 AM

To: Boler, Jordan E (DC Atty)

Subject: Waiver

All you have to do is submit a letter stating why you need a waiver. We just have to have the request in writing.

If you need anything else, please let me know

Ann Bauers

Administrative Assistant Nebraska Crime Commission PO Box 94946 Lincoln NE 68509 402-471-2195

From:

Dolan, Tim K. (DC Atty Civ) [Tim.Dolan@douglascounty-ne.gov]

Sent:

Tuesday, February 08, 2011 4:40 PM

To:

Bauers, Ann

Subject:

Request for Extension

Ann,

Thank you for taking your time to talk with me this afternoon about the status of my CLE credits. I understand that I am in need of 6 hours of Crimes Against Children CLE and of 1.75 general CLE. I am asking to add these shortages to my CLE for the 2011 year. I understand that I will then need 21.75 general CLE hours, and 7 hours of Crimes Against Children CLE.

I appreciate your consideration of my request.

Tim Dolan
Deputy Douglas County Attorney
Civil Division
909 Civic Center
Omaha, Nebraska 68183
P- (402) 444-4973
F- (402) 444-6817
tim.dolan@douglascounty-ne.gov

This message and any attachments are intended to be confidential. The message and any attachments may contain privileged information, and none of the material is intended for review by any person or persons other than the addressees. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately. Do not disseminate this message or its attachments, and please destroy the materials immediately.

From:

Arlan Wine [winelaw@chase3000.com]

Sent:

Friday, March 25, 2011 6:23 PM

To: Subject: Bauers, Ann Re: CLE Form

Attachments:

CLEsignedform2010.pdf

Ann, here is my CLE form for 2010, filled out, dated, and signed, and attached as a .pdf document. I'm not sure what the form means when it talks about "first year" and "second" year. I got my one hour of radar training from a patrolman in 2003, when I first started in office as county attorney, but have not done it since. I have attended the spring or fall training seminar every year, I believe.

I need a waiver of the radar training for 2010, because I didn't do it that year. I'll do the two hours in 2011.

Thanks.

Arlan Wine

---- Original Message ----

From: Bauers, Ann

To: winelaw@chase3000.com

Sent: Friday, March 25, 2011 3:21 PM

Subject: CLE Form

Attached please find the CLE form. If you need a waiver, just indicate what the waiver is need for in your return email

If you have any questions, please let me know

Ann Bauers

Administrative Assistant Nebraska Crime Commission PO Box 94946 Lincoln NE 68509 402-471-2195 FAX 402-471-2837

Donald W. Kleine

County Attorney of Douglas County
Room 101 Hall of Justice
Omaha, Nebraska 68183

March 30, 2011

Nebraska Crime Commission 301 Centennial Mall South P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln, NE 68509-4946

Re: <u>CLE HOURS</u>

I am requesting an additional extension to complete my CLE hours for the year 2010. I completed 23 out of the forty hours required. I signed up for both County Attorney Conventions in May and October and had to cancel both times. My father was hospitalized again and May and I had a two week trial in October. I am scheduled to attend a training next week for three days. That training would give me the additional 17 hours I need. I apologize for any inconvenience. Thank you for your time and consideration

Sincerely,

Rende L. Mathias

Deputy Douglas County Attorney

Rence. Mathias@douglascounty-ne.gov

Franklin County Attorney

Tor C.A. Committee For Consideration

702 - 15th AVENUE

FRANKLIN, NEBRASKA 68939 TELEPHONE (308) 425-6258

February 25, 2011

Control of the second

Nebraska Crime Commission ATTN: Michael E. Behm P.O. Box 94946 Lincoln, NE 68509-4946

Re: Vernon F. Duncan

Dear Mr. Behm:

Your letter to Vernon F. Duncan dated February $16^{\rm th}$ has been referred to me.

In April of 2010, my deputy resigned. During the time I was seeking a replacement, I hired Mr. Duncan. A replacement has been located and has recently taken the Nebraska Bar Examination. He will be hired when he is admitted to the bar and Vernon Duncan will resign.

It is anticipated that Vernon Duncan will serve less than a year as Deputy County Attorney.

We believe that a waiver of the training hours into 2011 would serve no purpose and would use budgeted funds to train his replacement.

If possible, we would request that the training requirement be waived completely.

Please Advise.

Very truly yours,

Patrick A. Duncan, County Attorney

PAD/clo

From:

Sullivan, Kimberly [KSullivan@amerisourcebergen.com]

Sent:

Wednesday, March 30, 2011 9:12 AM

To:

Bauers, Ann

Subject:

FW: CLE's for Paul Sullivan

Ann, we'll try this again!

Hi Ann, I spoke to you yesterday about my husband Paul Sullivan (Bar #22484) being out of the country, and the concern that he wouldn't have his CLE's completed for 2010. Paul worked in Cass County NE as a practicing attorney until September 2010. He asked to go to CLE trainings but due to a tightened budget didn't get the opportunity prior to taking an assignment overseas with PAE. He is in Liberia, helping to rebuild their justice system. It is important that he keeps his law license current. I am requesting a waiver so that he is able to fulfill the required number of CLE's upon his return in early November 2011. If there are any online opportunities for CLE's please let me know.

I look forward to hearing back from you Ann, thanks.

Kim Sullivan Cell 402-290-3819

Attachment #2

Title V JJDP Incentive Grants 2010

Number	Agency—City(Program Title) (2009 Award)	Amount equested	Red	Amount commendedS taff Rvw.	Amount Recomm NCJJ	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
10-JP-30	Douglas County - Omaha					
	(Expanding Bilingual & Cultural Capacity of the					
	JAC) (\$12,663)	\$ 22,957.00	\$	22,957.00		
10-JP-31	Platte County - Columbus (Graffiti Patrol Monitor/Bilingual Diversion					
00 10 00	Officer) (\$10,380)	\$ 11,715.00	\$_	11,715.00		
09-JP-32	Lancaster County - Lincoln (POWER) (\$10,443)	\$ 17,611.00	\$	17,611.00		
TOTALS		\$ 52,283.00	\$	52,283.00	\$ -	\$ -
Available		\$ 84,985.00	\$	84,985.00	\$ 84,985.00	\$ 84,985.00
Remaining		\$ 32,702.00	\$	(32,702.00)	\$ (84,985.00)	\$ (84,985.00)

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

ρplicant: Douglas County Grant #: 10-jp-0030

Title: Expanding Bilingual and Cultural Capacity of the JAC Amount Requested: \$22,957,

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$22,957	 Recalculate match so that it is 50% match, not 100% match, resubmit budget summary, worksheets, and narrative for relevant sections to show correct amount of match.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Year 1 was successful for the JAC with the bilingual advocate.
- 2. Grant Review Committee members supported new cultural outreach efforts in this year's proposal; Grant Review Committee is interested in knowing the number of people who attend presentations and information on family composition in the new grant year.

Areas for improvement:

- 1. Grant Review Team members questioned the viability of the sustainability plan.
- 2. Grant Review Team members questioned if the number of families served by Cultural Ambassadors was sufficient to meet community need; cost per family appeared high.
- 3. A letter of commitment from Heartland Family Services would have strengthened the application.
- 4. Application was challenging to read because of text cut off in copies; margins were not one inch.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Platte County Grant #: 10-jp-0031

Title: Graffiti Patrol Monitor/Biligingual Services Amount Requested: \$11,715

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award:
\$11,715	No Contingencies.	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Strong fit with both the Office of Violence Prevention and Juvenile Services plans.
- 2. Graffiti patrol benefits the youth and the community at large.
- 3. Program has been successful in past two years of operation and has demonstrated strong grant management.

Areas for improvement:

1. Sustainability plan is not fully developed; this is year three.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

pplicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 10-jp-0032

Title: Police Officers Working with Educational Referrals (POWER) Amount Requested: \$17,611

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award:
\$17,611	No Contingencies.	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Positive response from youth and officers participating in the program was well received by the Grant Review Team members.
- 2. Grant Review Team members supported use of Why Try.
- 3. Compelling success story provided in application.
- 4. Applicant demonstrated logic when selecting Lincoln Southeast as a new site for the programming.
- 5. Strong section on DMC presented in the application.

Areas for improvement:

1. In the Continuation Information provided, it appears a substantial number of youth served are Caucasian, not minority youth.

Attachment #3

Juvenile Accountability Block Grant – 2010

Number	Agency—City(Program Title) (2009 Award)		Amount Requested	Rei	Amount commendedSt aff Rvw.	NCJJ	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.	
10-JA-600	Lancaster County - Lincoln (JABG) (\$78,703)	\$	78,703.00	\$	78,703.00			
10-JA-601	UNO - Omaha (SDCCT) (\$0)	\$	182,815.00	\$	182,815.00			
10-JA-602	Hall County - Grand Island (Juv Acct Probation Intake Officer) (\$11,110)	\$	11,110.00	\$	11,110.00			
10-JA-603	Sarpy County - Papillion (Juvenile Reporting Center) (\$17,353)	\$	19,077.00	\$	19,077.00			
10-JA-604	City of Omaha - Omaha (JABG Phase 13) (\$118,886)	\$	118,886.00	\$	118,886.00			
TOTALS Available Administration		\$ \$ \$	410,591.00 432,200.00 (21,609.00)	\$ \$ \$	410,591.00 432,200.00 (21,609.00)	\$ - \$432,200.00	\$ \$ 432,200.0	 00

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County

Grant #: 10-ja-0600

Title: Lancaster County JABG Grant

Amount Requested: \$78,703

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$78,703	No contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Use of 40 Developmental Assets, *Why Try*, parental involvement, and *Tough Choices* was well received by Grant Review Team members.
- 2. Strong history of grant management demonstrated by applicant.

Areas for improvement:

1. Grant Review Team members were concerned with low hourly wage for the positions and questioned if quality staff is able to be hired and retained.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Board of Regents of UNO Grant #: 10-ja-0601

Title: Statewide Data Colelction (SDCCT)

Amount Requested: \$182,815

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$182,815	No Contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Application addresses priorities of the State and Nebraska Coalition for Juvenile Justice.
- 2. Applicant will complete the federally mandated statewide DMC assessment, which is required by the OJJDP.
- 3. Grant Review Team members are invested in best practices for diversion and being informed of the success.

Areas for improvement:

1. Applicant would benefit from reducing the number of purpose areas addressed by this grant to reduce unnecessary duplication of data reporting to the federal government.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

*pplicant: Hall County

Grant #: 10-JA-0602

Title: Juvenile Accountability Probation Intake Officer

Amount Requested: \$11,110

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$11,110	No contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Letters of support were from key stakeholders and showed support of the services.
- 2. Letter from mother strengthened the application.

Areas for improvement:

- 1. Supplies and operating budget was not detailed.
- 2. Implementation of a best practice has been strongly recommended for several years; there no progress in identifying or implementing a best practice.
- 3. RRI data was not provided for each minority group; the application would have been strengthened by providing RRI data for specific minority groups such as African American and Hispanic.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sarpy County Juvenile Justice Center

Grant #: 10-JA-0603

Title: Sarpy County Juvenile Reporting Center

Amount Requested: \$19,077

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award:
\$19,077	No contingencies.	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Grant Review Team members supported the array of classes for youth, which allow the youth to be placed in the most appropriate class to meet their need.
- 2. Letters of Support were from key stakeholders and demonstrated investment of partners.
- 3. Programming and services are from materials that are evidence based or best practice.

Areas for improvement:

1. Numbers in Continuation Table did not add correctly.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha

Grant #: 10-JA-0604

Title: Omaha/Douglas Co JABG Phase 13

Amount Requested: \$118,886

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$118,886	No contingencies.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Applicant has a history of strong grant management.
- 2. Activities are consistent with the Douglas County plan.

Areas for improvement:

1. Applicant would benefit from reducing the number of purpose areas addressed by this grant to reduce unnecessary duplication of data reporting to the federal government.

Attachment #4

2011 Byrne Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) Local Projects

Amount Available: \$814,651 + \$333,600 ARRA= \$1,148,251

Number	Agency—City(Program Title) (2010 Award)	Amount Requested	Amount Recomm Staff Rvw.	Amount Recomm Grnt. Rvw.	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
11-DA-300	Scotts Bluff Co Gering	400 047 00			
11-DA-301	(WING) (\$95,828) City of Beatrice - Beatrice (SEADE) (\$95,420)	\$ 422,947.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	
		\$ 117,398.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	
11-DA-302	City of Omaha - Omaha (METRO) (\$180,000)	\$ 389,600.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	
11-DA-303	City of Norfolk - Norfolk (SNARE) (\$96,349)	\$ 110,700.00	\$101,951.00	\$101,951.00	
11-DA-304	City of Lincoln - Lincoln (Invest. Narcotics Coop) (\$345,000)	\$ 433,173.00	\$340,000.00	\$340,000.00	
11-DA-305	Lancaster County - Lincoln (Tech Upgrades for Lancaster Co. Justice System) (\$0)	\$ 41,325.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
11-DA-307	City of Fremont - Fremont (III Corps) (\$144,000)	\$ 221,349.00	\$170,000.00	\$170,000.00	
11-DA-309	City of York - York (RAP) (\$91,300)	\$ 91,300.00	\$91,300.00	\$91,300.00	
11-DA-310	Buffalo County - Kearney (CANDO) (\$40,000)				
		\$ 57,975.00	\$45,000.00	\$45,000.00	
TOTALS			\$1,148,251.00		\$0
Availahle		\$ 1 148 251 00	\$1 1 <i>4</i> 8 251	\$1.148.251.00	\$ 1.148.251

 TOTALS
 \$ 1,885,767.00
 \$1,148,251.00
 \$1,148,251.00
 \$0.00

 Available
 \$ 1,148,251.00
 \$1,148,251.00
 \$ 1,148,251.00
 \$ 1,148,251.00

 Remaining
 \$ (737,516.00)
 \$ \$0.00
 \$ 1,148,251.00

2011 Byrne Justice Assistance Grants (JAG) **State Projects**

Amount Available: \$524,355 + less than 10k allocation\$132,248 + \$222,400 ARRA = \$879,003

Number	Agency—City(Program Title) (2010 Award)	Amount Requested	Amount Recomm Staff Rvw.	R	Amount ecomm Grnt. Rvw.	100	Amount commended rime Comm.
11-DA-306	1						
	Lincoln (Drug						
	Enforcement) (\$34,683)	\$ 83,715.00	\$70,000.00		\$70,000.00		
11-DA-308	NE Atty General's Office -	•					
	Lincoln (Drug & Violent						
	Crime Unit) (\$122,974)	\$ 204,285.00	\$122,974.00		\$122,974.00		
11-DA-311							
	NE State Patrol - Lincoln						
	(MULE XXII) (\$205,340)	\$ 709,576.00	\$596,864.00		\$596,864.00		
11-DA-312	NE Dept of Corrections - Lincoln (Intelligence Sharing Initiative)						
	(\$23,406)	\$ 20,680.00	\$20,680.00		\$20,680.00		
11-DA-313	NE Crime Commission -						· · · · · ·
	Lincoln (CJIS) (\$62,625)	\$ 68,485.00	\$68,485.00		\$68,485.00		
TOTALS		\$ 1,086,741.00	\$879,003.00		\$879,003.00		\$0.00
Available		\$ 879,003.00	\$ 879,003.00	\$	879,003.00	\$	879,003.00

TOTALS	\$ 1,086,741.00	\$879,003.00	\$879,003.00	\$0.00
Available	\$ 879,003.00	\$ 879,003.00	\$ 879,003.00	\$ 879,003.00
Remaining	\$ (207,738.00)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 879,003.00

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Scotts Bluff County Grant #: 11-DA-0300

Title: Western NE Intelligence & Narcotics Group (W.I.N. G.) Amount Requested: \$422,947

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$100,000.00	1. Revised Budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Sustainability plan is thorough and shows steps taken for sustainability
- 2. Positive collaboration efforts
- 3. Narratives were clear and concise
- 4. Good to see a significant number of federal cases
- 5. Good to see evaluation and revision of advisory board

- 1. Budget is miscalculated
- 2. More information is needed on how buy money was paid for last year
- 3. Application could be improved by explaining full-time Administrative Assistant and full-time data entry positions in detail

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Beatrice Grant #: 11-DA-0301

Title: Beatrice (SEADE) Amount Requested: \$117,398

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$100,000.00	 Revised Budget An explanation regarding Tecumseh Police Officer including if position has been back filled Facilitator position needs approval from Crime Commission Applicant needs to provide explanation on why Investigators are not attending DEA training

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Positive to see the merger between RAP and SEADE
- 2. Problem description included very specific examples of community information
- 3. Letters of support demonstrated commitment to the program

- 1. Application would benefit from further information on sustainability plan and a plan of action to maintain an experienced drug investigator position
- 2. Miscalculation on match/breakdown

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Omaha; Office of the Mayor **Grant #**: 11-DA-0302

Title: Metropolitan Drug Task Force Amount Requested: \$389,600

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
Recommended	
\$200,000.00	1. Revised Budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Excellent focus on collaboration within community, teams, and gangs
- 2. Good sustainability plan
- 3. Job Description section is very well written, it includes both the role and impact on the program
- 4. Activities were specific and addressed implementation of program
- 5. Comprehensive letters of support

- 1. Enhance application by providing further explanation on how rent and utilities were paid for in the past
- 2. Nebraska State Patrol is encouraged to provide data to METRO
- 3. Application could be improved by showing a breakdown of benefits for clerk position
- 4. Program would be enhanced by further development of sustainability plan

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Norfolk Grant #: 11-DA-0303

Title: Specialized Narcotics Abuse Reduction Effort (SNARE) Amount Requested: \$110,700

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$101,951.00	1. Revised Budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Good to see discussion of the positive impact program has had on community
- 2. Good description of current activities
- 3. Commendable collaboration efforts and development of community relationships

Areas for improvement:

1. The activity/timeline would be enhanced by addressing investigator duties

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Lincoln / Lincoln Police Dept. Grant #: 11-DA-0304

Title: Lincoln/ Lancaster Co Investigative Narcotics Cooperative Amount Requested: \$433,173

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$340,000.00	 Revised Budget Applicant must submit how they intend to collaborate with UNL and Nebraska State Patrol Applicant must provide further development of sustainability plan

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Data provided and the correlation to problem statements are greatly appreciated
- 2. Good to see holistic approach to efforts of program
- 3. Statistics and graphs are relevant and understandable

- 1. More information is needed on the collaboration between applicant and UNL
- 2. More information is needed on the collaboration between applicant and Nebraska State Patrol

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lancaster County Board of Commissioners Grant #: 11-DA-0305

Title: Technology Upgrades for Lancaster County Justice System Amount Requested: \$41,325

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$0.00	Recommended for Denial based on the following:
	Operating Instruction #10
	007.02F Cost effectiveness of the proposed project
	007.02D Ability and capacity of the proposed program to make impact on the identified problem
	007.02G Amount of funds available

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Detailed and clear application
- 2. Reasons for application were poignant
- 3. Not dependent on continued funding

- 1. Application would benefit from stating how grant funds would impact violent crime
- 2. Additional information needed on how proposed project would interface with Justice
- 3. Application would be enhanced by describing a timeline for system implementation/completion

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Nebraska Crime Commission Grant #: 11-DA-0306

Title: Drug Enforcement & Violent Crime Training Amount Requested: \$83,715

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$70,000.000	1. Revised Budget	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Budget is well written and easy to read
- 2. Classes on child abuse interviewing and train the trainer are positive
- 3. Good descriptions of proposed workshops and contracts
- 4. Application demonstrates strong justification for proposed courses

- 1. Improve application by showing comparative costs for classes and information on how classes are chosen
- 2. Describe how agencies and officers will be notified of course offerings

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Fremont, Fremont Police Dept.

Grant #: 11-DA-0307

Title: III CORPS Drug and Violent Crime Task Force

Amount Requested: \$221,349

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$170,000.00	1. Revised Budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Well written grant
- 2. Increase in buy money and additional Investigator is justified because of increase in numbers
- 3. Lots of good work in community, including work with LEP individuals and gang investigations
- 4. Impressive collaboration within community
- 5. Personnel budget is concise
- 6. Application provides a clear picture of community and problems
- 7. Evident that sustainability plan was given much thought
- 8. Good support letter from SNARE showing good collaboration

- 1. Strengthen budget narrative by ensuring all information is clearly stated, describing how items requested would impact goals and efforts
- 2. Problem statement would be enhanced by addressing the need for translation services

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Nebraska Attorney General's Office Grant #: 11-DA-0308

Title: Nebraska Attorney General's Drug & Violent Crime Unit Amount Requested: \$204,285

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$122,974.00	1. Revised Budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Evident increase in work productivity
- 2. Application was complete
- 3. Program scope of services is expanding

- 1. Sustainability plan would be enhanced by explaining maintenance of program if grant funds are unavailable
- 2. Application would be strengthened by providing letter of support from NSP
- 3. Application could be enhanced by including the impact of trainings on the program services and violent crime

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of York **Grant #**: 11-DA-0309

Title: Rural Apprehension Program (RAP)

Amount Requested: \$91,300

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$91,300.00	 Revised Budget Contact Program Administrator regarding RAP/SEADE merger facilitator

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Commendable effort of saving program dollars
- 2. Sustainability plan is well written and shows evidence of community support
- 3. Good to see Officers attend yearly training
- 4. Excellent justification for buy money as match

- 1. Continuation information could be further developed by providing more details of training costs and how the costs will be paid for
- 2. Application could be enhanced by describing members' roles in more detail
- 3. How does applicant plan to pay for the suggested facilitator cost

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Buffalo County Grant #: 11-DA-0310

Title: CANDO Amount Requested: \$57,975

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$45,000.00	 Revised Budget Applicant needs to submit a letter of support from Nebraska State Patrol
	3. Applicant needs to submit detailed information on how applicant plans to collaborate with Nebraska State Patrol

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Straightforward application
- 2. Detailed and concise description of community
- 3. Nice narrative description pertaining to buy money
- 4. Very good example of application detail and justification for increased staff/money

- 1. Application would be enhanced by describing collaboration between applicant and Nebraska State Patrol
- 2. Application could be improved by providing current and relevant data

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Nebraska State Patrol Grant #: 11-DA-0311

Title: MULE XXII

Amount Requested: \$709,576

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:	
\$596,864.00	1. Revised Budget	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to this project
- 2. Project description is clearly written
- 3. Job descriptions are detailed
- 4. The program's goals are strategic and proactive

- 1. Description of each Investigator role would enhance application
- 2. Application could be improved by providing details of previous funding sources

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Nebraska Dept. of Correctional Services Grant #: 11-DA-0312

Title: Intelligence Sharing Initiative

Amount Requested: \$20,680

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$20,680.00	1. No Contingencies

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Impressive statistical data supporting the project that has the potential for a large and positive impact
- 2. Good collaboration of partners within the community
- 3. Applicant's goals are strategic and proactive

- 1. Application could be enhanced by providing insight into how drugs are brought into correctional facility and data on drug use
- 2. Applicant encouraged to research other collaborative opportunities

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Nebraska Crime Commission Grant #: 11-DA-0313

Title: CJIS Analyst-Local Applications Coordinator Amount Requested: \$68,485

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award/Reasons for Denial:
\$68,485.00	1. No contingencies

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- 1. Program is available to all law enforcement and Nebraska agencies
- 2. Large collaboration in the community shows a strong commitment to the program's objectives

- 1. Budget would be enhanced by providing explanations of increases in fringe benefits
- 2. Application would be improved by detailing how systems have an impact on how local agencies are utilizing the information
- 3. Sustainability of this project would benefit from additional letters of support

Attachment #5

2011 County Aid Enhancement Grants

Available \$122,738 Over in Request (\$210,731.91) Revised Available \$107,813

								1	Mount	,	
				1	Amount	1	Amount	Red	commend	Pro	posed Cut
		-	Amount	R	lecomm	R	lecomm	ec	l Crime	f	or Crime
Number	County		equested	St	aff Rvw.		NCJJ	1	Comm.		Comm.
11-EG-100	Lancaster Co	\$	76,971	69	23,024	\$	23,024	\$	23,024	\$	20,224.00
11-EG-101	Douglas Co	\$	54,954	\$	25,574	\$	25,574	\$	25,574	\$	22,464.00
11-EG-102	Saunders Co	\$	9,444	\$	8,289	\$	8,289	\$	8,289	\$	7,281.00
11-EG-103	Garfield Co	\$	2,138	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$\$	1
11-EG-104	Cuming Co	\$	1,945	\$	1,545	\$	1,545	\$	1,545	\$	1,357.00
11-EG-105	Madison Co	\$	12,072	\$	2,382	\$	2,382	\$	2,382	\$	2,092.00
11-EG-106	Cheyenne Co	\$	35,021	\$	1,522	\$	1,522	\$	1,522	\$	1,337.00
11-EG-107	Seward Co	\$	27,779	\$	2,400	\$	2,400	\$	2,400	\$	2,108.00
11-EG-108	Holt Co	\$	1,840	\$	1,500	\$	1,500	\$	1,500	\$	1,318.00
11-EG-109	Buffalo Co	\$	18,690	\$	5,720	\$	5,720	\$	5,720	\$	5,025.00
11-EG-110	Gage Co	\$	4,809	\$	4,809	\$	4,809	\$	4,809	\$	4,224.00
11-EG-111	Sarpy Co	\$	12,280	\$	5,715	\$	5,715	\$	5,715	\$	5,020.00
11-EG-112	Dawson Co	\$	10,545	\$	3,509	\$	3,509	\$	3,509	\$	3,082.00
11-EG-113	Hitchcock Co	\$	1,372	\$	1,372	\$	1,372	\$	1,372	\$	1,205.00
11-EG-114	Sherman Co	\$	5,000	69	5,000	\$	5,000	\$	5,000	\$	4,392.00
11-EG-115	Merrick Co	\$	6,611	69	2,330	\$	2,330	\$	2,330	63	2,047.00
11-EG-116	Clay Co	\$	27,682	\$	9,094	\$	9,094	\$	9,094	\$	7,988.00
11-EG-117	Lincoln Co	\$	12,208	\$	9,206	\$	9,206	\$	9,206	\$	8,087.00
11-EG-118	Otoe Co	\$	5,497	\$	5,497	\$	5,497	\$	5,497	\$	4,829.00
11-EG-119	Hall Co	\$	1,500	\$	750	\$	750	\$	750	\$	659.00
11-EG-120	Platte Co	\$	5,112	\$	3,500	\$	3,500	\$	3,500	\$	3,074.00
										(\$	-
Available		\$	122,738	\$	122,738	\$	122,738	\$	122,738	\$	-
Recommend	Total	\$	333,470	\$	122,738	\$	122,738	\$	122,738	\$	
Remaining		\$	(210,732)	\$		\$		\$	-	\$	-
										\$	_
total				·						\$	107,813.00
					······································	<u> </u>					

Attachment #6

Available - \$2,751,156	September 30, 2012
2011 VOCA Fur	October 1, 20

	State Funds \$52,559					
***************************************	Federal Funds \$2,840,628 (ADM. 5% 142,031	31 AID \$2,698,597)	(76			
Number	Agency-City (Program Title) (2010 Award)	Amount Requested	*Amount Recommended Staff Rvw:	*Amount Recommended Grant Review	Revised Amount	Amount Recommended Crime Comm.
11-VA-200	Dawson Co Lexington (V/W Asst. Unit) (\$31,767)	\$ 36,000.00	0 \$ 31,767.00	\$ 36,000.00		
11-VA-201	City of South Sioux City - So. Sioux City (Dakota Co. VA Program) (\$38,000)	\$ 40,525.00	சு	\$ 40,525.00	THE	
11-VA-202	Sarpy County - Papillion (Victim Asst.) (\$124,149)	\$ 127,382.00	 	4-		
11-VA-203	Adams County - Hastings (V/W Unit) (\$35,713)	\$ 54,440.00	35,713.00	\$ 53,372.00		
11-VA-204	Scotts Bluff Co Gering (V/W Asst. Unit) (\$34,144)	\$ 29,301.00	0 \$ 29,301.00	\$ 29,301.00		
11-VA-205	DA/SA Services - McCook (Victim Asst.) (\$65,591)	\$ 73,149.00	0 \$ 65,591.00	\$ 65,591.00		
11-VA-206	City of Beatrice - Beatrice (Gage Co. V/A Program) (\$37,611)	\$ 52,769.00	37,611.00	\$ 37,611.00		
11-VA-207	Bridge of Hope - No. Platte (Child Advocacy Ctr. Victim Adv. & Support) (\$0)	\$ 22,083.00	- \$	٠		
11-VA-208	Child Advocacy Ctr Lincoln (\$30,975)	\$ 34,532.00	30,975.00	\$ 30,975.00		
11.VA-209	Hope Crisis Ctr Fairbury (Victim Asst.) (\$85,000)	\$ 90,397.00	0 85,000.00	\$ 85,000.00		
11-VA-210	Heartland Family Servcies - Papillion (Safe Haven Shelter) (\$40,000)	\$ 74,315.00	00.000.00	\$ 40,000.00		
11-VA-211	Lincoln County - No. Platte (V/W Unit) (\$32,270)	\$ 33,903.00	32,270.00	\$ 33,903.00		
11-VA-212	City of Grand Island - Grand Island (Victim Asst. Program) (\$46,110)	\$ 51,231.00	0 \$ 46,110.00	\$ 46,110.00		
11-VA-213	Dodge County - Fremont (Victim Services) (\$15,000)	\$ 15,000.00	15,000.00	\$ 15,000.00		
11-VA-214	Rape/DA Program - No. Platte (Rape/DA program) (\$60,000)	\$ 75,000.00	56,279.00	\$ 56,279.00		
11-VA-215	No. Central Quad - Valentine (Satellite Office) (\$21,000)	\$ 21,503.00	21,000.00	\$ 21,503.00		
11-VA-216	The DOVES Program - Gering (\$82,767)	\$ 146,458.00	82,767.00	\$ 82,767.00		
11-VA-217	Douglas County - Omaha (Victim Asst.) (\$394,000)	\$ 500,314.00	388,275.00	\$ 394,000.00		
11-VA-218	Butler County - David City (Butler/Polk Co. Victim Asst.) (\$15,360)	\$ 25,082.00	15,360.00	\$ 25,082.00		
11-VA-219	Center for SA/DV Survivors - Columbus (Victim Srvcs & Outreach) (\$65,000)	\$ 74,296.00) \$ 65,000.00	\$ 68,000.00		
11-VA-220	Madison County - Madison (Victim/Witness Unit) (\$30,000)	\$ 31,000.00	30,000.00	\$ 31,000.00		

Seavides) (\$868,116 Colored Seavine	141-VA-221	Parent Child Ctr Lexington (Cont. of Direct	_							
Policier (1877, 1877) Policier (1877, 1877) Policier (1877, 1877) Policier (1877, 1877) Policier (1877, 1877, 1877) Policier (1877, 1877) Policier			€9	68,281.0	^	68,116.00	↔	68,281.00		
Womene Cut for Advancement Cimaha Workers Cut for	11-VA-222			108,414.00	₩	71,767.00	G	71,767.00		
Curine Project State Curine Project State St	11-VA-223	1							:	
Nie Any Contentials Office - Lincoin (Victim of Sexual Fig. 488.00 S. 55,000 S. 55,0		(DV/SA - Crisis Intervention) (\$179,000) (formly YWCA)	69	189,064.00	တ	173,275.00	69	179.000.00		
Bright Horizone - Morfolk (Domestic & Sexual Strate Strate Science Association Activation Activation Activation Activation Sexual Strates Science Association Sexual Strates Science Association Sexual Strates Science Association Sexual Strates Science Association Sexual Strates Science Activation Science Activation Sexual Strates Science Activation Sexual Strates Science Activation Science Activat	11-VA-224	NE Atty General's Office - Lincoln (Victim Crime Project) (\$0)	69	105.488.00	69		€9	53.556.00		
NE Crime Commission - Lincoln (VNNE) \$ 246,969.40 \$ 226,969.00 \$ 226,969.00 Crists Cardine DASA - Femont (Rural located by Contractal) (S246,967) \$ 68,720.00 \$ 68,720.00 \$ 68,720.00 Crists Cardine DASA - Femont (Victim Across) (\$43,221) \$ 43,221.00 \$ 43,221.00 \$ 43,221.00 Haven House - Wayne (Cont of Victim Across) (\$43,221) \$ 44,810.21 \$ 44,810.00 \$ 43,221.00 Crists Cardine - Auburn (DVISA) \$ 64,347.00 \$ 44,216.00 \$ 44,810.00 Crists Center - Grand Island (\$ADV \$ 89,616.00 \$ 65,000.00 \$ 65,000.00 Crists Center - Grand Island (\$ADV \$ 89,616.00 \$ 33,000.00 \$ 65,000.00 Crists Center - Grand Island (\$ADV \$ 89,616.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 65,000.00 Crists Center - Grand Island (\$ADV \$ 89,616.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 Saunders (Sustrian Asst.) (\$33,000) \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 Saunders (Cardine The Sheter Same Victim Asst.) (\$33,000) \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 Child Abuse Victim Asst.) (\$30,000) \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 Child Abuse Victim Asst.	11-VA-225	Bright Horizons - Norfolk (Domestic & S Violence Asst.) (\$55,000)	·	70,656.00	· ω	55,000.00	₩	55.000.00		
Critis Centre DASA + Fremont (Rural S 68,720,00 \$ 66,965,00 \$ 68,720,00 Cutraezol) (366,967) Cutraezol) (36,967) Cutraezol) (36,967) Cutraezol) (36,967) Cutraezol) Cutraezol (36,967) Cutraez	11-VA-226	i .	€>	246,969.40	69	226,969.00	69	226,969.00		
Haven House Wayne (Cont of Victim Services) \$49,090.00 \$ 43,221.00 \$43,221.00 \$50,000.00 \$60,000.	11-VA-227	Crisis Center DA/SA - Fremont (Rural Outreach) (\$66,967)	69	68,720.00	69	66,965.00	69	68,720.00		
Project Reponse - Auburn (DV/SA) \$ 64,347.00 \$ 59,294.00 \$ 59,29	11-VA-228		€>	48,090.00	63	43,221.00	69	43,221.00		-
City of Kearney - Kearney (Crime Victim S	11-VA-229	1	65	64,347.00	69	59,294.00	69	59,294.00		The second secon
Crisis Center - Grand Island (SADV \$ 89,618.00 \$ 85,000.00 \$ 86,000.00 Seurices) (\$85,000) Sandragers (\$85,000) \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 Saudragers County - Chald Abuse Victim Asst.) \$ 34,021.00 \$ 33,000.00 \$ 33,000.00 The SAFE Center - Kearney (Advocates for Victims of Domestic & Sexual Vicilence) \$ 97,186.00 \$ 80,737.00 \$ 80,737.00 Ine SAFE Center - Kearney (Advocates for Victims of Domestic & Sexual Vicilence) \$ 97,186.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,737.00 Ine SAFE Center - Kearney (Advocates for Victims of Domestic & Sexual Vicilence) \$ 95,588.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,737.00 Ine SAFE Center - Kearney (Advocates for Incoln (LPD Victim/Witness) \$ 135,489.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 Inity (\$127,265) \$ 135,489.00 \$ 121,540.00 \$ 80,189.00 \$ 80,189.00 City of Lincoln (DV/SA Advocacy) \$ 135,489.00 \$ 22,367.00 \$ 28,179.00 Project) (\$80,587) \$ 80,189.00 \$ 28,179.00 Project) (\$80,587) \$ 104,642.00 \$ 10,000.00 Sandhills Crisis Intervention Program (\$CIP) \$ 104,642.00 \$ 20,000.00 Pederal \$ 25,559.00	11-VA-230		ss.	44,810.21	မ	44,216.00	ь	44,810.00		PROPERTY LINE
Saunders County - Wahoo (Victim Services) \$ 10,000.00 \$ -	11-VA-231	Crisis Center - Grand Island (SA/DV Services) (\$85,000)	€9	89,618.00	₩	85,000.00	69	85,000.00		
Catholic Charities - Omaha (The Shelter Catholic Charities - Omaha (The Shelter Catholic Charities - Omaha (The Shelter Catholic Charities - Omaha (The Shelter) \$ 34,021.00 \$ 33,000.00 \$ 33,000.00 Victims of Domestic & Sexual Violence) \$ 97,186.00 \$ 80,737.00 \$ 80,737.00 (\$80,737) Friendship Home - Lincoln (DV Shelter) \$ 95,588.00 \$ 80,000.00 (\$80,000) City of Lincoln - Lincoln (LPD Victim/Witness City of Lincoln - Lincoln (DV/SA Advocacy Project) (\$80,587) \$ 135,489.00 \$ 121,540.00 \$ 127,265.00 (City of Lincoln - Lincoln (DV/SA Advocacy Project) (\$80,587) \$ 1,773.00 \$ 80,189.00 \$ 127,265.00 (City of Lincoln - Lincoln (DV/SA Advocacy Project) (\$80,587) \$ 1,773.00 \$ 80,189.00 \$ 127,265.00 (City of Lincoln - Lincoln (DV/SA Advocacy Project) (\$80,587) \$ 145,270.00 \$ 22,367.00 \$ 28,179.00 (Subsumption Program (SCIP) - Seward (Victim Asst.) \$ 45,270.00 \$ 50,000.00 \$ 50,000.00 (Subsumption Program (SCIP) - Seward (Victim Asst.) \$ 104,642.00 \$ 7,000.00 \$ 2,751,166.00 (Salaliale (Victim Asst.) \$ 104,642.00 \$ 2,751,166.00 \$ 2,559.00 \$ 2,559.00 (Salaliale (Victim Asst.) \$ 2,753,540.00	11-VA-232	Saunders County - Wahoo (Victim Serv	\$	10,000.00	69		€>	4		
The SAFE Center - Kearney (Advocates for Victims of Domestic & Sexual Violence) (\$80,737.00 \$ 8	11-VA-233		બ	34,021.00	ь	33,000.00	69	33,000.00		
Friendship Home - Lincoln (DV Shelter) \$ 95,588.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00	11-VA-234	The SAFE Center - Kearney (Advocates Victims of Domestic & Sexual Violence) (\$80,737)	€9	97,186.00	↔	80,737.00	69	80,737.00		
City of Lincoln (LPD Victim/Witness S 135,489.00 \$ 121,540.00 \$ 127,265.00 \$ 135,489.00 \$ 121,540.00 \$ 127,265.00 \$ 127,275,1756.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00 \$ 127,251,156.00	11-VA-235	Friendship Home - Lincoln (DV Shelter) (\$80,000)	છ	95,588.00	69	80,000.00	s	80,000.00		The state of the s
Voices of Hope - Lincoln (DV/SA Advocacy Project) (\$80,587) \$ 81,773.00 \$ 80,189.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 80,000.00 \$ 8	11-VA-236	City of Lincoln - Lincoln (LPD Victim/Will Unit) (\$127,265)	49	135,489.00	(A)	121,540.00	69	127,265.00		
Seward County - Seward (Victim Asst.) \$ 45,270.00 \$ 22,367.00 \$ 28,179.00 \$ 28,179.00 \$ 28,179.00 \$ 28,179.00 \$ 22,367.00 \$ 22,367.00 \$ 22,367.00 \$ 20,000.00	11-VA-237	Voices of Hope - Lincoln (DV/SA Advocacy Project) (\$80,587)	69	81,773.00	€	80,189.00	69	80,189.00		
Platte County - Columbus (Victim Asst. Unif of Platte & Colfax Co.) (\$50,000) \$ 53,300.00 \$ 50,000.00 <td>11-VA-238</td> <td>Seward County - Seward (Victim Asst.) (\$22,367)</td> <td>မာ</td> <td>45,270.00</td> <td>↔</td> <td>22,367.00</td> <td>69</td> <td>28,179.00</td> <td></td> <td></td>	11-VA-238	Seward County - Seward (Victim Asst.) (\$22,367)	မာ	45,270.00	↔	22,367.00	69	28,179.00		
Sandhills Crisis Intervention Program (SCIP) \$ 104,642.00 \$ - 0 <td>11-VA-239</td> <td></td> <td>↔</td> <td>53,300.00</td> <td>69</td> <td>50,000.00</td> <td>€></td> <td>50,000.00</td> <td></td> <td></td>	11-VA-239		↔	53,300.00	69	50,000.00	€>	50,000.00		
*Amounts based on tentative federal and test amounts *Amounts based on tentative federal after amount federal notice *Amounts	11-VA-240	Jram (S	€>	104,642.00	G			0		
TOTALS \$ 3,370,406.61 \$ 2,626,099.00 \$ 2,751,156.00 \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$					*Amo tent	unts based on ative federal amount	det	*Amounts ermined after deral notice		***************************************
\$ 2,573,540.00 \$ 2,573,540.00 \$ 2,698,597.00 \$ 2,698,597.00 \$ 8		TOTAL	1	3,370,406.61	ii	2,626,099.00	છ		φ.	
\$ 52,559.00 \$ 52,559.00 \$ 52,559.00 \$ 52,559.00 \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$ 52,559.00 \$ \$				2,573,540.00		2,573,540.00	ω		698,597.00	2,698,597.00
\$ 744,307.61 \$ - \$ - \$ (2,751,156.00) \$		STATE	49	52,559.00	↔	52,559.00	()		j	52,559.00
	1 m 1 m 8 m m 7 m 70 m 100 m 1	Over	↔	744,307.61	မှာ	1	cs.	9		(2,751,156.00)

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Dawson CountyGrant #: 11-VA-0200

Title: Dawson Co V/W Asst. Unit

Amount Requested: \$36,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award
\$36,000	None

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Clear and concise budget.
- Problem statement includes Dawson County's unique problem with potential language barriers.
- List of stakeholders and letters of support are offered as evidence of the community's strong commitment to this project.
- Sustainability plan is appropriate considering the project relies heavily on County subsidies.
- Good use of volunteers.

- Utilize same project period consistently throughout the application and eliminate Category D from the budget sheets as it is not included on the Budget Summary.
- Application could benefit from a detailed plan addressing the migrant population and minority issues noted in the Community and Problem Descriptions.
- Improve program statistics by offering explanations for the variances reflected in the 3 year data and ensure the numbers of victims served is consistently stated throughout application.
- Strengthen Continuation Section by elaborating on accomplishments.
- Provide justification when requesting increase in funds. Note project's objectives maintain current level of services and data reflects decreases in services provided.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of South Sioux City Grant #: 11-VA-0201

Title: Dakota Cty VA Asst.Program Amount Requested: \$40,525

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award:	
Recommended		
\$40,525	None	
·		

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Data on services provided reflects increases in the numbers of face-to-face client contacts, volunteer hours and use of internships.
- Efforts to clarify protection order data.
- Well written community description that is clear and concise.
- Goals and Objectives are a good fit and reflect growth.
- Great accomplishments including the project's efforts to network with other Victim Advocates from Nebraska and Iowa.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Clarify the match listed as fringe.
- Due to insufficient funds request for salary increases is not recommended at this time.
- Strengthen problem statement by stating how many victims of crime exists and narrow discussion to those crimes that have occurred in the area (i.e., narrative states project assisted in homicide, but crime data reports zero homicide cases).

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

\pplicant: Sarpy County VA Grant #: 11-VA-0202

Title: Sarpy County VA

Amount Requested: \$127,382

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award	
\$124,149	Funding is recommended with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Good sustainability plan considering project's fundraising limitations operating under a unit of local government.
- Complete and accurate budget narrative which provided information on staff's length of employment.
- Collaborative efforts including the sexual assault response coordinated with Offutt Air Force Base and the Executive Director's meeting with District Court Judges.
- Increase in numbers of victims assisted with protection orders and number of volunteer hours.
- Problem Statement includes useful data regarding current level of need.
- Project's two volunteers who have been with the unit for the past 17 years.
- Proposed solution and is clear and concise and Goals and Objectives are good fit.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

Areas for improvement:

• Application would benefit from explanation for the increases noted in referrals and in other data.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Adams CountyGrant #: 11-VA-0203

Title: Adams County Attorney VW Unit

Amount Requested: \$54,440

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$53,372	Project is recommended for funding with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget to exclude computer equipment

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Applicant may benefit from more demonstrated support from the County. Good to see sustainability plan which includes efforts to gain this type of support.
- Project's work with community agencies such as CASA, DV/SA program, and CSAs.
- Applicant serves rural and multi-lingual populations.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Complete #19 Total Agency Budget.
- Include details for benefits and salary increases (i.e., % FICA, % Health Insurance, % salary increase).
- Ensure narratives of each section support each other. For example, the Community Description describes a smaller community where the project director knows most law enforcement officers fairly well. However, the Problem Statement claims that word about the unit is slowly getting out to law enforcement and law enforcement is "gradually" getting better at directing victims to the unit.
- Develop strategic goals that will increase numbers of speaking engagements provided. Data reflects only 5 engagements have occurred over the last 3 years. These types of opportunities can be used for recruiting volunteers needed to fulfill VOCA funding requirements.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Scotts Bluff County Grant #: 11-VA-0204

Title: VA Assit. Unit

Amount Requested: \$29,301

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award
\$29,301	 Project is recommended for funding with the following contingency: Submission of corrected Budget Summary, Budget Sheets and Narratives.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability plan reflects strong community support for unit.
- Good description of the problems experienced by victims.
- Performance Indicators targeting individuals who are people of color, children/youth, women who are victims of domestic and those who are elderly.
- List of local attorneys to provide reduced rate services.
- Goal to increase number of victims directly assisted with protection orders.
- Letters of support from diverse stakeholders reflect community's ongoing commitment to project.

- Budget Summary, Personnel Budget Sheets and Personnel Narratives contain miscalculations; this includes the match amount.
- Strengthen proposal with a discussion of the frequency of crimes and their impact on the community.
- Correct miscalculation in statistics and please document 100% of population; currently only 84% of total population is reported. Explain or provide clarification for changes in data.
- There seems to be incongruities between the number of victims receiving services and the victims served by type of crime.
- Strengthen Continuation Information section by including data for achievements such as number of victims assisted with crime victim reparation claims and registered with VINE.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Domestic Abuse/Sexual Assault Services

Grant #: 11-VA-0205

Title: DASAS Victim Assistance

Amount Requested: \$73,149

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award
\$65,591	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Good problem statement and excellent follow-up from last year's grant by noting the numbers of adult victims who chose to not report the crime.
- Number of volunteer hours and increases in community presentations and outreach efforts.
- Proposed solution was straight forward and included excellent strategies.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.
- Inclusion of agency's budget.

- Strengthen application by ensuring consistency across sections specifically the Continuation Section discusses that project will likely see a 5% increase in victims served; however, this is not reflected in the Goal and Objectives which are to maintain numbers served.
- Maybe helpful to ask group participants for suggestions of ways to improve attendance rates.
- Applicant is encouraged to explore different types of venues for recruiting volunteers.
- Application would benefit by expanding discussion on collaborations with other agencies and by providing further explanation of victim services data and how victims are counted.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Beatrice Grant #: 11-VA-0206

Title: Gage County Victim Assit. Program Amount Requested: \$52,769

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award
\$37,611	 Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: Submit revised budget reflecting reduced funds in fringe benefits allocation.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Letters of support and Interlocal Cooperation Agreement are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.
- Accomplishments reflect increases in direct services provided and effective use of volunteers and interns.
- Problem statement included useful background information about the project.
- Additional program data provided.
- Very good explanation of the solution which included all aspects of victim services.
- Goals and Objections are specific, measurable and suited to scope of project.

- Budget section did not provide sufficient explanation to support the request for a substantial increase in support for fringe benefits.
- Application would benefit from additional crime data as the lack of statistics does not adequately reflect problems in the community.
- Strengthen application by providing or explaining why the Face to Face and Telephone Contact data are identified as "NA."

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Bridge of Hope Child Advovacy Center Grant #: 11-VA-0207

Title: Bridge of Hope Child Advocacy Center Amount Requested: \$22,083

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Reasons for Denial:	. ,
\$0	Application denied based on Operating Instruction #10: • 007.02G Amount of funds available.	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Project's use of volunteers.
- Activities and timetable are well written.
- Goals and Objectives are specific and measurable.
- Good letters of support.

- Sustainability plan does not adequately reflect the project's potential for fund raising activities based on its cause and population served.
- Budget Summary and Budget Sheets do not match.
- Provide evidence and assurances that there is no duplication of services in the area (i.e., Lincoln County's Victim Witness Unit).
- The application would benefit from detailed and clearer information that advocate position is not supplanting funds.
- Applicant may want to contact the Victims Federal Aid Administrator at the Nebraska Crime Commission to request technical assistance.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Lincoln/Lancaster County Child Advocacy Center Grant #: 11-VA-0208

Title: Child Advocacy Center Amount Requested: \$34,532

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award	
Recommended		
\$30,975	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget reflecting corrected salary amounts.	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant and additional statistics were appreciated.
- Applicant has an aggressive sustainability plan which focuses on numerous types of activities.
- Scope of problem was compelling and provided evidence of growing need for CAC services.
- Proposed solution was clear and concise.
- Staff training opportunities.
- New state-of-the-art facility offering on-site mental health services.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

Areas for improvement:

• The annual salary listed for the advocate does not match on the Budget Sheet and Narrative.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Hope Crisis Center Grant #: 11-VA-0209

Title: Victim Asistance Amount Requested: \$90,397

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$85,000	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingencies:	
	 Submit revised and corrected budget that also explains supplanting is not occurring, and includes a description of Executive Director's position which reflects involvement in direct services to victims. Submit all required forms signed by Board Chairperson. 	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Strategic planning and sustainability plan includes various fundraising activities.
- Bilingual Advocate is housed in location where increased numbers of those who are minority reside.
- Excellent accomplishments.
- Served as host site for Domestic Violence training facilitated by the US Attorney's Office and the University of Tennessee.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Include explanation as to why the Budget Summary and Required Forms are signed by the Board Vice Chairperson and not the Board Chairperson as required.
- Budget Summary needs to match Budget Sheets.
- Provide clearer description in budget narrative that supplanting of funds is not occurring.
- A request for increased funding should accompany an increase in some performance indicators.
- Strengthen problem statement by providing evidence which validates applicant's statement that historically there have been increases and decreases reflected in data.
- Application does not adequately explain how the Executive Director's position is involved in direct services to victims.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Heartland Family Service Safe Haven Shelter Grant #: 11-VA-0210

Title: Heartland Family Service Safe Haven Shelter Amount Requested: \$74,315

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$40,000	 Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: Submit revised budget that clarifies position and includes an explanation for the source of match.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Commendable sustainability efforts.
- Community Description includes relevant data.
- Problem Statement is compelling and provides excellent comparison between the general population numbers and DV calls to law enforcement.
- Discussion of Trauma Informed Care and use of outcome based Goals and Objectives.
- Community partnerships including the collaboration with WCA for increasing women's self-sufficiency skills.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- To ensure match qualifies the applicant should explain which NHHS grant is being used as match.
- Strengthen budget to reflect that positions used are consistent throughout budget pages specifically the budget refers to a Therapist and the narrative discusses a Case Manager.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

\tag{Pplicant: Lincoln County Attorney's Office Grant #: 11-VA-0211

Title: Lincoln County Victim Witness Unit

Amount Requested: \$33,903

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award	
\$33,903	None		

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Complete and accurate budget.
- Project's accomplishments which included work with other local advocacy programs.
- · Good outcomes.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Strengthen application by providing or explaining why the Face to Face and Telephone Contact data or labeled "NA."
- Applicant would benefit from elaborating on the services and time commitment provided by volunteers.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: City of Grand Island Grant #: 11-VA-0212

Title: Grand Island/Hall Victim Assistance Program Amount Requested: \$51,231

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award:
Recommended	
\$46,110	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Applicant provided a good description of the community's unique problems and concerns.
- Services to individuals who are Hispanic and elderly.
- Applicant provides good data to support continuation funding.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Applicant would benefit from including a detailed explanation for the increase requested in benefits.
- Application would have been enhanced with inclusion of an outreach plan to the Hispanic community.
- Application does not adequately justify a request for increased funding.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

\pplicant: Dodge County Grant #: 11-VA-0213

Title: Victim Services Amount Requested: \$15,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$15,000	Request fully funded with the following contingencies:
	 Submit plan to address language barriers with Spanish speaking individuals.
	 Submit signed and dated Certified Assurances, copy of MOU with Crisis Center, and completed Goals and Objectives.
	 Submit revised Activities and Timetable removing or renaming LB1184 meeting.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Partnership with the Crisis Center and written agreement to ensure no duplication of services.
- Weekly commitment of volunteer to assist with providing victim services.
- Good statement of the problem to be addressed.
- Increases in victims provided face-to-face and telephone contacts.

- Certified Assurances must be dated.
- Applicant is encouraged to reach out to Midland University for possible interns and to collaborate with the Fremont Probation District.
- Volunteer time can be recalculated at \$9 per hour.
- Update sustainability section by discussing follow through on 2010's plan to look at program statistics to determine impact; to provide the Board of Supervisors with quarterly reports; and to determine if approach was successful in demonstrating a need for the program.
- Application would benefit from consistent information. For example, program claims to deal with all victims of crime then states it does not have resources to assist those who speak Spanish.
- Enhance solutions by providing plan for assisting Spanish speaking individuals.
- Strength application by discussing program's successes with population served and trainings attended.
- To provide clarity for federal representatives exclude or rename "LB1184" on Activities and Timetable.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

\pplicant: Rape Domestic Abuse Program Grant #: 11-VA-0214

Title: Rape Domestic Abuse Program

Amount Requested: \$75,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$56,279	Due to insufficient funds partial funding is recommend with the following contingency:	
	 Submit revised budget excluding the Financial Manager position; including a Budget Summary that matches Budget Sheets; and provides an explanation for the Outreach Coordinator position. 	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability Plan
- Easy to read budget.
- Well written program accomplishment section.
- Objective and Goals
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Figures on Budget Summary and Budget Sheets must match and the Financial Manager, as currently described, is no longer allowable under VOCA.
- Please address questions from last year regarding whether the Outreach Coordinator position provides direct services to victims of crime.
- Strengthen problem by quantifying general statements such as, "miles and miles of open space."
- Application could be enhanced by linking identified problems with proposed solutions. For example, isolation is identified as a problem; however, it is not addressed in the solution.
- Only included direct service type activities on the Activities and Timetable.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: North Central Quad Counties Domestic Grant #: 11-VA-0215

Title: Satellite Office in Ainsworth Amount Requested: \$21,503

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award:	
\$21,503	None		

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability plan which can be solidified in the future.
- Well written description of the problem.
- Narratives are brief yet data provide illustration of project's purpose and functions.
- Goals and Objectives
- Rural collaborations
- Excellent accomplishments

- Budget Summary, Budget Sheets and narratives must match.
- Problem statement is written as a solution.
- Link requested for funds to the proposed solution. For example, if requesting funds for shelter services outline the shelter services as part of the proposed solution.
- Show support from law enforcement and County Attorneys by including letters of support from these types of entities that are located in the counties served.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: The DOVES Program Grant #: 11-VA-0216

Title: The DOVES Program Amount Requested: \$146,458

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$82,767	 Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingencies: Submit revised budget with match clarified. Submit required forms signed by Board Chairperson. 	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Applicant offers comprehensive approach for sustainability planning.
- Excellent Community Description and Problem Statement.
- Goals and Objectives fit well with expansion of services.
- Letters of support are evidence of the service area's strong commitment to project.

- Include explanation as to why Vice-Chairperson signed required forms.
- To ensure match qualifies, applicant would benefit from explaining source of match from NDHHS (i.e., grant source, fees for service, etc.).
- Page 20 remove, "Seek additional funding opportunities" from Activities and Timetable.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Title: Omaha - Douglas County Victim Assist.

Amount Requested: \$500,314

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$394,000	Due to insufficient funds the project is recommended for funding the 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Applicant offers comprehensive approach for sustainability planning involving city and county governmental agencies.
- Excellent Community Description and Problem Statement.
- Numerous bilingual advocates and the detailed description of program services.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

Areas for improvement:

• Requesting a 27% increase in funding from 2010; however, increase in victims receiving direct services is <.01%.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: County of ButlerGrant #: 11-VA-0218

Title: Butler/Polk County Victim Assist. Amount Requested: \$25,082

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award:	
Recommended		
\$25,082	None	W

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability efforts and plan was well articulated in describing personnel's efforts to provide services despite numerous challenges.
- Good to see County Attorney oversight for project.
- Provided detailed listing of Activities and Timetable.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Include at least three activities for securing long-term funding in Sustainability Plan.
- Applicant would benefit from strengthening the Problem Statement by establishing credibility. This can be achieved by providing data which validates the existence of the problem.
- Strengthen Community Description by breaking out minority groups and quantify statements such as, "15% increase in population" with numbers, years and citing source of information.
- Recommend strategy planning for volunteer recruitment efforts.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Center for Sexual Assault and DomesticGrant #: 11-VA-0219

Title: Victim Service and Outreach Project Amount Requested: \$74,926

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award:		Contingencies for Award:		
Recommended					
\$68,000	None				

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant proposal.
- Applicant provides a proactive approach for project's sustainability.
- Community description and inclusion of data in problem statement.
- 51% increased in Spanish speaking victims served and the addition of the bilingual advocate.
- Volunteer involvement and the numbers of speaking engagements provided.
- Good rational for calculation of operating expenses.
- Goals and Objectives are good fit for scope of project.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

Areas for improvement:

• None noted.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Madison CountyGrant #: 11-VA-0220

Title: Madison County VW Unit Amount Requested: \$31,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$31,000	Project is recommended for funding with the following contingency: • Submit budget with corrected narrative for travel.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Legislative efforts outlined in Sustainability Plan.
- Description of non-duplication of services was appreciated.
- Detailed and concise budget and narrative.
- Community Description including outline of underserved population was very helpful.
- Problem Statement includes useful data of the stated problems.
- Goal Section is an excellent example of strategic and appropriate goals and objectives.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Strengthen Activities and Timetable by correcting minor errors.
- Ensure all letters of support are dated and current.
- Applicant's statistics reflect over 50% of the community's African American population served. Application would benefit from describing the types of planning or program development efforts occurring as a result of this information/data.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

\pplicant: Parent-Child Center Grant #: 11-VA-0221

Title: Continuation of Direct Services

Amount Requested: \$68,281

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended		Contingencies for Award:
\$68,281	None	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability plan outlines various funding streams and activities and the Board of Directors includes corporate membership.
- Well documented budget request.
- Good to see project reaching out to Somali leaders.
- Addition statistical data was helpful in identification of problem.
- Numbers of volunteers and public awareness activities.
- Project accomplishments
- Cultural training provided to staff.
- Applicant is to be commended on this application as it could serve as a model for other underserved and minority outreach projects.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Enhance application with more explicit information regarding the 124 presentations provided by including the types of groups, places and audiences that received presentations.
- Ensure all letters of support are dated and current.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: SASA Crisis Center Grant #: 11-VA-0222

Title: SASA Crisisi Center Amount Requested: \$108,414

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$71,767	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingencies:	
	 Submit revised budget including a description of the Executive Director's job duties which demonstrate that the 20% includes providing direct services to victims. 	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant and accurate budget.
- Increase in the numbers of volunteers.
- Good explanation for percentage changes in data.
- Statistical documentation of the problem.
- Project accomplishments including the addition of a support group for women who are Spanish.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Sustainability plan offers public awareness and fund raising efforts; however, with the project's heavy reliance on VOCA funding the application would benefit from an expanded plan.
- Goals and performance indicators do not justified the increased amount requested.
- Ensure all letters of support are dated.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Women's Center for Advancement Grant #: 11-VA-0223

Title: The Continuation of Omaha DV/SA

Amount Requested: \$189,064

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$179,000	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingencies:	
	Submit revised budget	
	 Submit list of Board of Directors 	
	Submit all required forms with dates	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Applicant's community collaboration efforts including their work with the Latina Resource Center.
- Detailed Activities and Timetable
- Discussion of the Wilder Report.
- Strategic move to change agency name.
- Agency's compliance in completing a Limited English Proficiency Plan.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Required Forms must be dated.
- Budget Sheets and narratives do not match.
- Strengthen Problem Statement by offering explanations for the increases and decreases in data provided.
- On-call advocates work "shifts." Please define shift (i.e., 10 hour shift).

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

\pplicant: Nebraska Attorney General's Office Grant #: 11-VA-0224

Title: Nebraska Attorney General 's Victims of Crime Project Amount Requested: \$105,488

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$53,556	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at a reduced amount with the following contingencies:	
	 Submit revised budget excluding costs for out-of-state travel and Assistant position. 	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Applicant provides statewide victim advocacy.
- Clear and concise budget.
- Applicant provides nice overview of project.
- Good letters of support.

- Strengthen application by elaborating on work performed.
- Application would benefit by providing specifies regarding the needs or gaps in victim assistance.
- Application does not adequately explain how they are not duplicating services.
- Enhance application with the addition of letters of support from law enforcement.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

\text{**pplicant: Bright Horizons} \text{Grant #: 11-VA-0225}

Title: Domestic and Sexual Violence Assistance Program Amount Requested: \$70,656

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:	
\$55,000	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget clarifying match and excluding audit	

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant; very comprehensive application.
- Sustainability plan outlines fund raising efforts and collaboration with Ponca Tribe.
- Collaboration with ResCare and Workforce Development
- Number of volunteer opportunities and use of AmeriCorps.
- Problem statement provides statistical documentation of the problem and good overview of the issues.
- Accomplishment of 50 stories, this past year, in the local newspaper.
- Service delivery provides 45 minute response time that spans across 7 counties.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Strengthen sustainability plan by including development of the Board of Directors.
- Clarify match as the Budget Summary indicates Volunteer Hours; however, Category A Personnel listed fringe benefits associated with paid positions as match, and Category D Supplies and Operating Expenses shows \$3,500 in match that is not listed on the Budget Summary.
- Costs associated with an audit are not an allowable VOCA expense.
- Because applicant is projecting to conduct 178 presentations the application would benefit from details about whom and what types of groups are reached.
- On Activities and Timetable identify who is responsible for filing compensation claims and when the bilingual volunteer will be trained.
- Recommend applicant seek assistance from the Nebraska Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Coalition regarding possible strategies for recruitment of a bilingual advocate.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Nebraska Crime Commission Grant #: 11-VA-0226

Title: VINE Operations XI Amount Requested: \$246,969.40

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$226,969.00	Project is recommended for funding at reduced amount as indicated by applicant with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Problem statement
- Implementation of user friendly business size data cards to distribute to victims.
- Statewide impact
- Accomplishment of 450 new registrations per month.
- Essential program that attempts to provide victims with some sense of safety.
- Good letters of support.

- Strengthen application by using proper titles prior to the use of acronyms.
- Statistical Documentation of Problem chart indicates increases; however, under "NOTE" it discusses decreases.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

pplicant: Crisis Center for DA/SA Grant #: 11-VA-0227

Title: Rural Outreach Program Amount Requested: \$68,720

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$68,720	Project is recommended for funding with the following contingency: • Submit dated Certification Regarding Lobbying

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Active and strategic sustainability efforts.
- Co-training for law enforcement and dispatchers.
- Program data was very helpful.
- Excellent approach to offer Do It Right as an afterschool program to focus on younger victims.
- Nicely stated goal.
- Review and relocation of staffing which has increase project capacity for providing services.
- Number of new clients and rural victims reached.
- Project's investment in staff training.
- Excellent program and a positive use of resources.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Expand letters of support to include the Dodge County Sheriff.
- Certification Regarding Lobbying must be dated.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

pplicant: Haven House Family Services Center Grant #: 11-VA-0228

Title: Continuation of Victim Services

Amount Requested: \$48,090

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award:
Recommended	
\$43,221	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingencies: • Submit revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written sustainability plan.
- Applicant is to be commended for the purchase of property.
- Service area encompasses two Indian Reservations.
- Project's participation in Ten Clans DV Initiative.
- The additional stats that were provided by county were very helpful.
- Good explanation provided for data increases/decreases in the number of victims served.
- Nice job of tying the objectives into the proposed solution.
- Performance Indicators outlined by county.
- Letters of support from a variety of entities including the Winnebago Tribe are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

Areas for improvement:

• Enhance Community Description section by including updated US Census data.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

'pplicant: Project Response, Inc.

Grant #: 11-VA-0229

Title: Domestic Violence and Sexual Assualt

Amount Requested: \$64,347

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$59,294	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingencies:
	 Submit revised budget including corrections for the Executive Director position. Submit rewritten Goals and Objectives.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Application reflects understanding of the reasons for increases and decreases in victim services.
- Problem areas are well stated.
- Breakdown of advocacy services is great example which could serve as model for other projects.
- Project accomplishments
- Letters of support which include a judge and private attorney are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- The total agency budget identified in #19 is less than the total annual salaries listed on the Personnel Budget Sheet.
- Budget Sheet and Narrative do not match for position of Executive Director and position's duties do not outline a relationship to providing direct services to victims.
- Strengthen Proposed Solution by indicating how often awareness activities occur and how many people are reached through these efforts.
- Projected results for Goal and Objective are confusing in relationship to the statistical data provided in the application (i.e., 42 out of 2553 individuals received direct services).

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

'pplicant: City of Kearney Grant #: 11-VA-0230

Title: Crime Victim Assist. Amount Requested: \$44,810.21

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$44,810	 Project is recommended for funding with the following contingencies: Submit corrected budget using only whole numbers. Provide brief narrative on outreach to surrounding communities and outline how police reports are received from these communities.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability plan reflects strong support from police departments and includes the use of volunteers.
- Articulation of Goals
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Budget Summary and Budget Sheets must match and use whole numbers.
- Strengthen application by providing or explaining why Face to Face and Telephone Contact data is not available.
- Identify who is responsible for all activities listed on the Activities and Timetable.
- Follow-up on last year's comments by discussing outreach to surrounding communities and including how police reports are received from these communities.
- Ensure all letters of support are dated with the current year and include a letter from UN-K.
- To strengthen the use of volunteer hours as match include backup documentation such as the prior year's actual volunteer hours provided and types of volunteer duties.
- Application would benefit by elaborating on project accomplishments.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

pplicant: Crisis Center, Inc. Grant #: 11-VA-0231

Title: Sexual Assault/ Domestic Violence Services Amount Requested: \$89,618

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$85,000	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Improved Sustainability Plan which reflects variety of efforts occurring on behalf of the Crisis Center.
- Accurate budget
- Detailed Community Description section and good problem statement.
- Goals and Objectives are a good fit with the project.
- Project's accomplishments specifically the contract with three SANE Nurse Examiners to conduct adult exams.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Applicant should be aware to never include names of victims in a grant application (letters of support) as this could present a potential risk to the safety and welfare of the individual.
- Increased funding request for consultant is not justified considering the decreased attendance rate for the sexual assault/incest survivor support group.
- Application would benefit from a clearer explanation of how collaboration with Hall County is not a duplication of services.
- Ensure all letters of support are signed.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

'pplicant: Saunders County Grant #: 11-VA-0232

Title: Victim Services Amount Requested: \$10,000

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Reasons for Denial:
\$0	Application denied based on Operating Instruction #10:
	 007.02B Completeness, clarity, continuity and consistency of written application. The written application shall include all sections and information as outlined in the grant application instructions. 007.02D Ability and capacity of the proposed program to make an impact on the identified problem. 007.02G Amount of funds available.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Good Timeline
- Letters of support indicate a level of community commitment to a project in Saunders County.

- All required forms must be signed by Board Chairperson.
- Strengthen community description by providing details about issues such as underserved and unserved populations, community needs and dynamics unique to the area.
- Statement regarding increases in serious crimes should be backed up with documentation detailing such items as the types of crimes and time frames.
- Problems are not adequately described therefore; it is difficult to ascertain whether applicant is knowledgeable of the issues that require a solution.
- Recommend applicant proceed with tracking local data in an effort to justify issues and possible solutions.
- Applicant offered no proposed solution outlining program operation or services.
- Improve Goals and Objectives by indicating what will be provided to victims.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

'pplicant: Catholic Chararities of the Archdiocese

Grant #: 11-VA-0233

Title: The Shelter Child Abuse Victim Assistance

Amount Requested: \$34,021

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$33,000	 Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: Submit revised budget with correction to the position of Program Supervisor

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Excellent description of the community and problem.
- In-home aftercare services
- Reintegration Specialist focusing on housing issues
- Project's family focus and services for children and teens to help break the cycle of Domestic Violence.
- List of shelter services provided was helpful.
- The number of organizations the project plans to collaborate with is listed on the Activities/Timetable.
- Measurable Objectives and increase in the projected results focusing on teens.
- Comprehensive training for staff.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Budget Sheet and Narrative for Program Supervisor do not match.
- When using acronyms please identified appropriate title and replace YWCA with WCA throughout the grant for next year.
- Ensure all letters of support are for the current year.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

'pplicant: The S.A.F.E. Center Grant #: 11-VA-0234

Title: Advocates for Victims of Domestic and Sexual Violence Amount Requested: \$97,186

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$80,737	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingencies:
	 Submit revised budget with no fundraising activities listed under the Program Manager position.
	 Submit signed copy of contract with Healing Hearts.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Solid sustainability plan with great fundraising efforts on the part of the Board of Directors.
- Excellent volunteer efforts.
- Applicant is to be commended for recognition that people of color and LGBT populations are not considered within the norm of area's population and are viewed as "outsiders."
- Additional statistics were good.
- Project provides meals for those you attend support groups.
- Collaborations are positive component of project.
- Continuation section addresses outcomes.
- Project covers large service area.
- Comprehensive list of Activities and Timetable.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Personnel budget sheet contain miscalculations and description for Program Manager should not include "fundraising."
- Budget narrative refers to attached contract with Healing Hearts, but no contract is attached.
- If possible, strengthen data by presenting separate stats for S.A.F.E. Center and Healing Hearts as far as the numbers served, Face to Face and telephone contacts.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

'pplicant: Friendship Home

Grant #: 11-VA-0235

Title: Friendship Home Domestic Violence Shelter

Amount Requested: \$95,588

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount	Contingencies for Award:
Recommended	
\$80,000	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability efforts include diversified funding sources.
- Service delivery system utilizes Strength Based Intervention Service Model.
- Community Description and Problem Statement are compelling and provide useful and relevant data.
- Good to see project in collaboration with El Centro de Las Americas.
- Applicant provided additional program data and a detailed description of the proposed solution.
- Staff provided Motivational Interviewing training.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Volunteers can be calculated at \$9.00 an hour.
- Application would benefit from additional updated data on intimate partner violence. Bureau of Justice may be possible resource for updating data.
- Applicant is not showing any increase in results considering request for increased funding.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

*pplicant: City Of Lincoln Grant #: 11-VA-0236

Title: Lincoln Police Dept. VW Unit

Amount Requested: \$135,489

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$127,265	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budget

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Sustainability plan includes collaborative efforts.
- Detailed budget request.
- Good statement of the problem and solutions provided details of daily operations.
- Discussion regarding elderly victims.
- Resource materials are offered to victims in multiple languages.
- Project has strong support from the City and letters of support offer additional evidence of the community's strong commitment to the project.

- Cite sources of data.
- Offer plan or solution to address declining volunteer base (i.e., recruitment of interns from area colleges).
- Application would have benefited from a description of the victims that are not traditionally contacted which is mentioned in the accomplishment section.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

*pplicant: Voices of Hope Grant #: 11-VA-0237

Title: DV/SA Advocacy Project Amount Requested: \$81,773

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$80,189	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingency: • Submit revised budged excluding audit.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Sustainability plan includes multiple funding strategies and program development efforts.
- Excellent Community Description outlining project's strong partnerships with numerous agencies and organizations.
- Good to see use of data from the Nebraska Domestic Violence/Sexual Assault Coalition.
- Compelling problem statement reflecting increases in children served, numbers of individuals participating in groups and volunteer hours.
- Established protocols for hospitals with a 30 minute response in 97% of cases.
- Part-time advocate located on UN-L campus and numbers and variety of support groups offered.
- Good to see results from the work of the bilingual advocate who is funded through VOCA.
- Excellent job explaining impact of VOCA funding.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Category C Budget Sheet contains miscalculations and is incomplete, and audit expenses are not an allowable VOCA expense.
- Provide answer for #21.
- Volunteer hours can be calculated at \$9 per hour.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

'pplicant: Seward County Grant #: 11-VA-0238

Title: Seward County Victim Assistance Amount Requested: \$45,270

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$28,179	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for reduced funding with the following contingencies:
	 Submit revised budget with corrections and mileage to service the York area Submit revised Goals and Objectives

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability Plan includes steps to expanded support for project.
- Increased numbers of victims reached.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- Personnel Budget Sheet and Narrative do not match and contain miscalculations.
- Applicant is requesting funds to expand service area to York; however, York is not discussed in the community description.
- Application would have benefited from a justification which supports services in the York community. For example, there are no letters of support from a York entity.
- Enhance Problem Statement by focusing on victims issues.
- Clarify how the development of pregnancy prevention services ties into the project's scope.
- Goals and Objectives must be measureable by maintaining, increasing or decreasing something and performance indicators should focus on victims rather than staff.
- It would be a more compelling argument for application to focus on victim needs rather than staffing needs.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

'pplicant: Platte County Attorney

Grant #: 11-VA-0239

Title: Victims Assist. Unit of Platte & Colfax Counties

Amount Requested: \$53,300

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Contingencies for Award:
\$50,000	Due to insufficient funds project is recommended for funding at 2010 level with the following contingencies:
	Submit revised budget
	 Submit revised Activities and Timetable removing or renaming LB1184 meeting

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Well written grant.
- Sustainability plan includes both County and City efforts.
- Application outlines numerous valid points which reflect extensive knowledge of victim issues.
- Goals and Objectives relate to the project and reflect increases.
- Services provided to victims who are elderly.
- Application stresses that victims must be treated with dignity and respect.
- Great work with the courts to aid victims in collecting restitution.
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's strong commitment to project.

- To provide clarity for federal representatives exclude or rename "LB1184" on Activities and Timetable.
- Application would benefit from explaining the data reflecting such dramatic increases in services.

SUMMARY COMMENT SHEET

Applicant: Sandhills Crisis Interverntion Program, INC. SCIP Grant #: 11-VA-0240

Title: Victim Assistance & Safety

Amount Requested: \$104,642

The information in the box below reflects the amount of funding recommended for approval by the Crime Commission. Upon approval, all contingencies must be addressed prior to the release of funds.

Amount Recommended	Reasons for Denial:
\$0	 Application denied based on Operating Instruction #10: 007.02 H If previously funded the performance and ability of the applicant to manage a grant program including the timely submission of required reports to the Crime Commission.

The following comments summarize feedback from the review committees. This feedback is intended to assist the applicant with future applications. No follow up action is required for the information below.

Strengths of the Application:

- Sustainability Plan includes variety of funding sources and fund raising activities.
- Data provided for each position listed in budget narrative was helpful.
- Good Community Description
- Letters of support are evidence of the community's commitment to project.

- Applicant would benefit from improved grant management as this is the second grant application, in 2011, that has not met the Nebraska Crime Commission's grant submission deadlines.
- Applicant should be aware to never include names of victims in a grant application (letters of support) as this could present a potential risk to the safety and welfare of the individual.
- Personnel Budget Sheet and Narrative must match, the Batterer Intervention Program is not an allowable VOCA expense, and match provided through volunteers should be listed separate from personnel expenses associated with paid positions.
- Unclear how the "Lead Client Advocate" position is different from the currently funded Client Advocate other than increase in salary.
- Update Community Description to include progress from last year's grant on the project's goal to reach more victims in remote communities and elderly who are victims of abuse.
- Provide current (2010) statistical data of the problem.
- Applicant should be aware that grant reviewers are not provided projects' quarterly reports therefore; it is recommended that the Continuation Section be completed.
- To provide clarity for federal representatives exclude or rename "LB1184" on Activities and Timetable.